

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/879,034 06/13/2001		Tomihisa Naito	37872/0004	3566	
26633	7590 12/16/2003		EXAMINER		
	HRMAN WHITE & M	KIM, CHRIS	KIM, CHRISTOPHER S		
1666 K STR SUITE 300	EET,NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20006	3752			
				_	

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers			09/879,03	4	NAITO, TOMIHISA				
Office Action Summary			Examin r	C	Art Unit				
		Christophe		3752					
Th MA Period for Reply	NLING DATE of this commu	inication appo	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wi - Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD DATE OF THIS COMMUID THIS COMMUID THIS from the mailing date of this corply specified above is less than thirty the provided above, the maximum thin the set or extended period for read by the Office later than three months in adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.13 mmunication. (30) days, a reply statutory period wi oly will, by statute,	6(a). In no eve within the statu ill apply and wil cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day: Lexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Respons	sive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>24 Se</u>	eptember 2	<u>003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This acti	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Cla	aims								
4)⊠ Claim(s)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-9</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of th	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s))☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s)	Claim(s) 2-9 is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)☐ Claim(s)	are subject to rest	riction and/or	election re	equirement.					
Application Pape	rs								
9)☐ The spec	cification is objected to by t	the Examiner	r.						
10)□ The draw	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath	or declaration is objected	to by the Exa	aminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)	edgment is made of a clai Some * c) None of ertified copies of the priorit pries of the priorit opies of the certified copies of the certification from the Internate ttached detailed Office act doment is made of a claim terms of the foreign because included in the first seems of the certification of the foreign because included in the first seems of the certification of the foreign because included in the first seems of the certification of the foreign because included in the first seems of the certification of the foreign because included in the first seems of the priority of the certification of the foreign because the certification of the foreign because the certification of the certification of the foreign because the certification of the certifica	ty documents by documents s of the prioritional Bureau ion for a list of for domestic ded in the firs anguage prov	s have been ity docume (PCT Rule of the certific priority unt sentence visional appropriety under the priority under the priori	n received. In received in Application ts have been received in 17.2(a)). It is is in the copies not received and the specification of the specification of the specification of the specification.	on No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	l application) Data Sheet. a specific			
1) Notice of Refere	nces Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper Not	's) .			
2) D Notice of Draftsp	person's Patent Drawing Review closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		·	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/879,034 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Amendment filed September 24, 2003 is acknowledged.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites "0.8 mm, 0.5 mm, and 0.2 mm, respectively" in line 13. It is uncertain what dimension/parameter is being defined, i.e., radius, diameter, thickness, spacing, etc. This Office action considers the recitation to define the diameter of the holes as interpreted in light of the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 2-5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dixon (4,508,138) in view of Blair (3,853,146).

Application/Control Number: 09/879,034

Art Unit: 3752

Ŷ

Dixon discloses an atomizing apparatus comprising: an outer cylinder 73, 93; an outlet 82; an inlet 71; a chamber 72; an inner cylinder 74, 79.

Dixon differs from the claimed invention in the hole diameters. Blair teaches three groups of holes 20, 21, 22 having varying diameters. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided three groups of holes having diameters of 0.8 mm, 0.5 mm, and 0.2 mm to vary the delivery of the fluid (Blair, column 2, line 35).

Applicant's disclosure fails to provide any criticality in the hole diameters.

Rather, the disclosure merely recites the diameter size as examples. See applicant's specification, page 4, lines 1-6.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dixon (4,508,138) in view of Benton (329,881).

Dixon discloses an atomizing apparatus comprising: an outer cylinder 73, 93; an outlet 82; an inlet 71; a chamber 72; an inner cylinder 74, 79.

Dixon discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the water passage. Benton teaches a water passage d4. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided a water passage in the device of Dixon as taught by Benton to thaw fluid.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dixon (4,508,138) in view of Young et al. (6,012,646).

Dixon discloses an atomizing apparatus comprising: an outer cylinder 73, 93; an outlet 82; an inlet 71; a chamber 72; an inner cylinder 74, 79.

ŭ

Dixon discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the conduit passage. Young et al. teaches a conduit passage (un-numbered, passage showing check valve) and a material supply port 24. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided a conduit passage in the device of Dixon as taught by Young et al. to prevent over pressurization.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-5 and 9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. Applicant's arguments filed September 24, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, motivation is found in Benton, page 1, lines 9-15, "object of my invention is to produce the means whereby a hydrant or water pipe may be <u>instantly thawed out</u>." (underline added) Additionally, Young et al. recites, in column 3, lines 19-20, "Excess fuel flows past the

Art Unit: 3752

poppet 64 and back to the inlet side of the pump through conduit 54." Young provides the motivation to return excess flow.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-8336. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 3752

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752 Page 6

CK December 14, 2003